

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

DONALD BETTS,

Plaintiff

v.

STATE OF NEVADA, et al.,

Defendants

Case No.: 2:19-cv-01769-APG-BNW

**Order Accepting Report and
Recommendation and Dismissing Case**

[ECF No. 8]

On December 2, 2019, Magistrate Judge Weksler recommended that I dismiss this case because plaintiff Donald Betts has not complied with court orders and has not updated his address. ECF No. 8. Betts did not object. Thus, I am not obligated to conduct a de novo review of the report and recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (requiring district courts to “make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings to which objection is made”); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc) (“the district judge must review the magistrate judge’s findings and recommendations de novo *if objection is made*, but not otherwise” (emphasis in original)). Also, Judge Weksler’s report and recommendation was returned in the mail.

I THEREFORE ORDER that Magistrate Judge Weksler’s report and recommendation (ECF No. 8) is accepted and plaintiff Donald Betts’ complaint (ECF No. 1-1) is DISMISSED without prejudice. The clerk of court is instructed to close this case.

DATED this 18th day of December, 2019.


ANDREW P. GORDON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE